## WORLD HANDICAP SYSTEM ${ }^{\text {M }}$



## AGENDA

\author{

1. Introduction
}
2. Rules of Handicapping Presentation
3. Open Q\&A
4. Certification Quiz preparation

## GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE

A single World Handicap System ${ }^{\text {TM }}$, governed jointly by the USGA and The R\&A, to be consistent with the other sets of Rules.

## USGA REA



The WHS ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ is comprised of the Rules of Handicapping and the Course Rating System ${ }^{\text {™ }}$.

## Changes for 2024

## 2024 PRINCIPAL CHANGES

Score used when hole(s) not played

Treatment of 9-hole scores

New minimum length of golf course

## RULE 1 - Purpose \& Authorization

The purpose of the World Handicap System is to enhance the enjoyment of the game of golf and to give as many golfers as possible the opportunity obtain and maintain a Handicap Index', use their Handicap Index on any golf course around the world, and compete, or play a casual round, with anyone else on a fair and equitable basis.

## Rule 1.1 <br> PURPOSE OF THE WORLD HANDICAP SYSTEM

## This is achieved by:

Establishing a Course Rating ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ and Slope Rating ${ }^{\circledR}$ for each set of tees

Limiting the maximum hole score for handicap purposes to a net double bogey

Converting a Handicap Index into a Course Handicap ${ }^{\text {TM }} /$ Playing Handicap ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ to create equity

Ensuring that each player's Handicap Index is calculated consistently at the end of each day

Assessing the impact of playing conditions at each course on a given day

Conducting a Handicap Review on a regular basis

## Rule 1.1 <br> PURPOSE OF THE WORLD HANDICAP SYSTEM

Allied Golf Associations like the SCGA are required to issue a Course Ratings and Slope Ratings for both men and women for all tees up to 6,000 yards.

The SCGA rates all tees for both men \& women

DIAGRAM 1.1: A SEPARATE COURSE RATING AND SLOPE RATING FOR EACH SET OF TEES


Note: While this table includes a separate Course Rating and Slope Rating for both men and women from each set of tees, Authorized Associations should always assess the need for providing a Course Rating and Slope Rating for men and/or women based on use.

## Rule 1.3 RESPONSIBILITIES



## Rule 1.4 HOW TO OBTAIN A HANDICAP INDEX

## 1.4a Joining a Golf Club

In order to obtain a Handicap Index that can be administered in accordance with the Rules of Handicapping, a player must:

Be a member of an authorized golf club that is affiliated with an Authorized Association (AGA).

Return scores for the purpose of obtaining or maintaining a Handicap Index.

Acknowledge that the use of their scoring record will be available for peer review purposes.
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## QUESTIONS

## RULE 2 - Scores Acceptable for Handicap Purposes

The scores a player submits for handicap purposes are at the core of the calculation of their Handicap Index. Rule 2 covers the conditions a score must satisfy for it to be acceptable for handicap purposes, providing confidence that it will produce reasonable evidence of the player's ability and, ultimately, a Handicap Index that is reflective of demonstrated ability.

## Rule 2.1 ACCEPTABILITY OF SCORES

## A score is acceptable for handicap purposes if the round has been played:



In an authorized format of play


In the company of at least one other person


On a golf course
with a current
Course Rating and
Slope Rating


During an
active season

## Rule 2.1

## UNACCEPTABLE SCORES



## Rule 2.2 MINIMUM NUMBER OF HOLES PLAYED

## For a s-holescole to be acceotable:

All 9 holes must be played over 9 -holes with a current Course Rating and Slope Rating.

For an 18-hole score to be acceptable:

At least 10 holes must be played.

When 10 to 17 holes are played, the player must post their score using the hole-by-hole option.

## QUESTIONS

## RULE 3 - Adjustment of Hole Scores

A score for handicap purposes should not be overly influenced by one or two bad hole scores that are not reflective of a player's demonstrated ability. In addition, incomplete scores and/or scores where a player did not hole out on every hole can provide reasonable evidence of the player's ability and can be used for handicap purposes.

Rule 3 covers the circumstances where scores may be acceptable and how these hole scores should be adjusted.

## Rule 3.1 MAXIMUM HOLE SCORE FOR HANDICAP PURPOSES

## 3.1a Before a Handicap Index Has Been Established

For a player submitting their first scores to obtain an initial Handicap Index, the maximum score for each hole played is limited to par +5 strokes.

Name: John Smith Handicap: Not Established Date: 01107124

| Hole | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Par | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 35 |
| Score | 6 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 63 |

Maximum Hole Score $=$ Par +5

## Rule 3.1 MAXIMUM HOLE SCORE FOR HANDICAP PURPOSES

## 3.1b After a Handicap Index Has Been Established

| Net Double Bogey | A <br> of <br> r |
| :--- | :--- |

A player's maximum hole score for handicap purposes. Equal to par of the hole +2 strokes + any handicap stroke(s) that the player receives on that hole.

## Why It exists:

- Even the best players have bad holes, and the occasional bad hole shouldn't define (reflect) a player's ability.
- As a result, the maximum hole score ensures that the bad holes don't impact a player's Handicap Index too severely.
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## EXAMPLE

John Smith has a Course Handicap of 16.
This means that he receives one handicap stroke on holes allocated 1 through 16 on the stroke index (or handicap row) of the scorecard.

On the $17^{\text {th }}$ hole, where he receives a stroke, his gross score of 9 would be reduced to 7 .

As a result, his gross score of $\mathbf{8 8}$ is reduced to an adjusted gross score of 86 for handicap purposes.


## Rule 3.1 HELPFUL NET DOUBLE BOGEY TIPS

When applying net double bogey, just think...

## Double Bogey $\boldsymbol{\nmid}$

And encourage players to post their scores using the hole-by-hole option since net double bogey adjustments are made automatically.

## Rule 3.2 WHEN A HOLE IS NOT PLAYED

A score from an incomplete round or when one or more holes have not been played may only be used for handicap purposes if, among other things:

- The round has been played over at least the minimum number of holes required for either a 9 -hole ( 9 holes required) or an 18 -hole score (10-17 holes) to be acceptable, and
- The reason for not completing the round was valid.
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## Rule 3.2 WHEN A HOLE IS NOT PLAYED

Where the Handicap Committee determines that one or more holes has not been played, an 18 -hole Score Differential will be created using their expected score for the hole or holes not played.


## Rule 3.2 10-17-HOLE SCORES

When 10-17 holes have been played, a value is calculated from the holes played. Then, expected score is used for any holes not played. Lastly, these values are added to determine the 18-hole Score Differential.

## Reminder:

- The player will be required to post their score hole-by-hole when 10-17 holes are played so the appropriate Score
Differential can be calculated from the holes that were played to combine with the expected score for the holes not played.


## Expected Score

The score a player is expected to achieve over a specified number of holes on a course of standard difficulty. It is calculated using the player's Handicap Index and attributes a numerical value against any hole or holes not played during a round.

The expected score is based on the average Score Differential of a player with a given Handicap Index and a normal distribution of scores - so it is not specific to each player.
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## Rule 3.2 EXAMPLE (16 HOLES PLAYED)

Name of Player: Jane Smith | Handicap Index: 16.0
Golf Course: Sunnyside Golf Club

Course Rating: 72.4

Course Handicap: 18
Slope Rating: 126

| Hole | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Out |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Par | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 36 |
| Yards | 384 | 337 | 518 | 398 | 180 | 502 | 365 | 387 | 138 | 3209 |
| S.I. | 7 | 13 | 3 | 9 | 15 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 17 |  |
| Score | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ |


| Hole | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | In | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Par | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 36 | 72 |
| Yards | 322 | 283 | 165 | 491 | 400 | 144 | 521 | 377 | 390 | 3093 | 6302 |
| S.I. | 8 | 12 | 18 | 4 | 10 | 16 | 2 | 6 | 14 |  |  |
| Score | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | - | - | 36 | 78 |

To create an 18-hole Score Differential, the round into holes played and holes not played:


## Rule 3.2 SCORING RECORD

When between 10 and 17 holes are played, the scoring record will display the following:

- The actual adjusted gross score.
- The number of holes played, in parentheses, next to the adjusted gross score.
- This is intended to help with potential confusion from seeing a score that is unlike most/all others in the scoring record.
- The 18 -hole Course Rating and Slope Rating.
- The 18 -hole Score Differential equivalent.



## Rule 3.2 10-17-HOLE SCORES: BENEFITS



## CONSISTENT

Players will see more consistency than if they were to apply net par for remaining unplayed holes. This is because the expected score is not course specific or reliant upon the course's stroke index allocation.


## FLEXIBLE

For the first time, if between 10-13 holes are played, the player will have an 18-hole Score Differential in their scoring record.


## MODERN

By leveraging score-posting technology to make this process simple and intuitive, the result will be a more modern experience for players.

## Rule 3.3 HOLES NOT COMPLETED

There are various circumstances that might result in a player starting a hole but not holing out. For example, when:

- The result of the hole has been decided,
- A hole has been conceded in match play, or
- A player's partner has already posted a better score.


## Rule 3.3 HOLE NOT COMPLETED

When a hole is started but not completed, the score recorded for handicap purposes is their most likely score:


## Rule 3.3 MOST LIKELY SCORE GUIDELINES

If the ball lies on the putting green, no more than 5 feet from the hole:


## Rule 3.3 MOST LIKELY SCORE GUIDELINES

If the ball lies between 5 feet and 20 yards from the hole:


## Rule 3.3 MOST LIKELY SCORE GUIDELINES

If the ball lies more than 20 yards from the hole:


## QUESTIONS
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## RULE 4 - Submitting a Score

Rule 4 covers the process for the submission of acceptable scores for handicap purposes, both to obtain an initial Handicap Index and to maintain an established Handicap Index.
Timely submission of scores by a player, or anyone else responsible or authorized to submit scores on their behalf, allows for responsive updates and provides a real-time measure of the player's golfing ability.

This Rule also outlines the information that players are required to submit for acceptable scores and how these scores can be verified.

## Rule 4.2 ELIGIBILITY TO SUBMIT A SCORE

A score posted into the player's scoring record must be posted by:

- The player,
- The Handicap Committee,
- The Committee in charge of the competition*, or
- By anyone else authorized by the player.
*The Committee needs to tell players if they are going to post scores for a competition.


## Rule 4.3 TIMEFRAME FOR POSTING A SCORE

A player should submit their score as soon as possible on the day of play, after completion of their round, and before midnight (local time).


If a player does not post their score on the day of play:

- Their Handicap Index will not be updated in time for the next day (see Rule 5.4), and
- Their score will not be included in the daily playing conditions calculation (PCC) (see Rule 5.6).



## Rule 4.4 CERTIFICATION OF A SCORE-PEER REVIEW

A score posted for handicap purposes must be made available for peer review as soon as possible atter the completion of the round. To facilitate the process of peer review:

- A player, or someone authorized by the player, must post their score as soon as possible after completion of the round and before midnight local time, and
- The Handicap Committee should ensure a submitted score is posted to the player's scoring record as soon as possible.

Peer review is normally conducted by someone:

- Playing in the same group or who was present during the round, and/or
- Who is a member of the same golf club as the player.
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## Rule 4.5 NUMBER OF SCORES FOR INITIAL HANDICAP INDEX

To obtain an initial Handicap Index, a player must submit acceptable scores from a minimum of 54 holes made up of any combination of 9 -hole and 18 -hole rounds.

- There is no time limit on posting these scores as they may be backdated, and
- It is strongly recommended that initial scores are submitted hole-by-hole to better assess player's ability (see Rule 4.1b).



## QUESTIONS

## ${ }_{40}$ SCGA
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## RULE 5 - Handicap Index Calculation

A player's Handicap Index should represent their demonstrated ability and, where appropriate, be responsive to scores that are inconsistent with their demonstrated ability.

Rule 5 covers the process of calculating a Handicap Index and incorporates the safeguards needed to help ensure that a player's Handicap Index remains reflective of their ability and that equity is retained for all golfers.

## Rule 5.1 CALCULATION OF A SCORE DIFFERENTIAL

## 5.1a For an 18-hole Score

After an 18-hole score is posted, it is converted into a Score Differential, which accounts for the difficulty of the course and tees played. The calculation is as follows:


Note: The PCC adjustment ranges from -1.0 to +3.0 (see Rule 5.6 ).

## Rule 5.1 EXAMPLE SCORE DIFFERENTIAL CALCULATION

## 5.1a For an 18-hole Score



| Adjusted Gross Score | $\mathbf{8 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Course Rating | $\mathbf{6 8 . 7}$ |
| Slope Rating | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ |
| PCC adjustment | $\mathbf{0}$ |



## Rule 5.1 CALCULATION OF A SCORE DIFFERENTIAL

## 5.1b For a 9-hole Score

When a player posts a 9-hole score, a 9-hole Score Differential will be calculated for the 9 holes played, and that number will be combined with an expected score based on the player's Handicap Index to create an 18-hole Score Differential.

Note: Play of a Rated 9 is required for a score to be acceptable for handicap purposes.

| Expected Score | The score a player is expected to achieve over a specified number of holes on a <br> course of standard difficulty. It is calculated using the player's Handicap Index and <br> attributes a numerical value against any hole or holes not played during a round. |
| :--- | :--- |

The expected score is based on the average Score Differential of a player with a given Handicap Index and a normal distribution of scores - it is not specific to each player.

## 9-HOLE SCORES: EXAMPLE 1

Sunnyside Golf Club
Name of Player: John Smith
Handicap Index: 10.0
Bronze Tees, Front 9 Ratings: 36.0/125

| Hole | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Out |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Par | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 36 |
| S.I. | 7 | 13 | 3 | 9 | 15 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 17 |  |
| Score | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 42 |



## 9-HOLE SCORES: EXAMPLE 1

The 18 -hole Score Differential is calculated by combining the 9 -hole Score Differential from the 9holes played with the expected Score Differential for the hole or holes not played (calculated based on a given Handicap Index and a course of standard difficulty).
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## EXAMPLE 2 (DIFFERENT HANDICAP INDEX)

Front 9 / Silver Tees Course Rating: 36.0
Slope Rating: 125

Adjusted gross score of 42
produces a 9-hole Score Differential of 5.42

## Player A

Handicap Index: 4.0
18-hole Score Differential: 8.7
9-Hole Score 42

## Player B

Handicap Index: 10.0
18-hole Score Differential: 11.8
9-Hole Score 42

## Player C

Handicap Index: 16.0
18-hole Score Differential: 14.9
9-Hole Score

## 9-HOLE SCORES

When 9 holes are played, the scoring record will display the following:

- The 9-hole adjusted gross score.
- The 9-hole Course Rating and Slope Rating.
- The 18 -hole Score Differential equivalent.
- A score type of (N).

Use of Expected Score can begin as soon as a player has established a Handicap Index.

| Used | Type | Date | Score | C.R.JStope | Pcc | Dift | EsR | Adj. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | 4/10/24 | 88 | 72.2/135 | - | 13.2 |  | - |
| * | N | 4/07/24 | 41 | 35.8/130 | - | 9.8 |  | - |
| * | H | 4/03/24 | 82 | 71.7/130 | - | 9.0 |  | - |
|  | A | 4/01/24 | 90 | 71.3/133 | - | 15.9 |  | - |
|  | A | 3/25/24 | 88 | 71.5/133 | - | 14.0 |  | - |
|  | A | 3/22/24 | 88 | 72.2/135 | - | 13.2 |  | - |
| * | N | 3/20/24 | 40 | 36.1/132 | - | 9.2 |  | - |
|  | A | 3/15/24 | 90 | 72.2/135 | - | 14.9 |  | - |
| * | H | 3/13/24 | 82 | 71.7/130 | - | 9.0 |  | - |
| * | H | 3/11/24 | 82 | 71.7/130 | - | 9.0 |  | - |
|  | N | 3/09/24 | 43 | 35.8/130 | - | 13.3 |  | - |
|  | H | 3/05/24 | 90 | 71.7/130 | - | 15.9 |  | - |
|  | A | 3/01/24 | 91 | 70.6/131 | - | 17.6 |  | - |
|  | A | 2/26/24 | 91 | 71.5/134 | - | 16.4 |  | - |
| * | H | 2/25/24 | 83 | 71.7/130 | - | 9.8 |  | - |
|  | A | 2/20/24 | 88 | 72.2/135 | - | 13.2 |  | - |
| * | A | 2/14/24 | 85 | 71.5/129 | - | 11.8 |  | - |
|  | N | 2/12/24 | 45 | 35.5/128 | - | 17.7 |  | - |
|  | A | 2/04/24 | 93 | 69.0/125 | - | 21.7 |  | - |
| * | N | 1/30/24 | 42 | 35.2/129 | - | 11.9 |  | - |

## Rule <br> 5.1b <br> 9-HOLE SCORES: BENEFITS



## CONSISTENT

It produces a consistent Score Differential based on the Handicap Index and avoids volatility seen with the previous treatment of 9hole scores.


## RESPONSIVE

It provides responsive Handicap Index revisions and allows players to reach a full scoring record of 20 scores more quickly.


## EQUITABLE

The new method provides a better indication of what the player would have scored on the second 9 (using player equations rather than net par) and should result in a more equitable Handicap Index.


## INCLUSIVE

It is welcoming to players who typically play 9 holes of golf on a given day. For example, this could be due to preference, time available, or participation in a league.

## Rule 5.2 CALCULATION OF A HANDICAP INDEX

## 5.2a For Fewer Than 20 Scores

A Handicap Index is calculated from the lowest Score Differentials in the scoring record.

If a scoring record contains fewer than 20 Score Differentials, this table is used to determine the number of Score Differentials to be included in the calculation and any adjustment that may apply.

| Number of score <br> differentials in scoring <br> record | Score differential(s) to be used <br> in calculation of Handicap <br> Index | Adjustment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Lowest 1 | -2.0 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Lowest 1 | -1.0 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Lowest 1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Average of lowest 2 | -1.0 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ or 8 | Average of lowest 2 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ to $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Average of lowest 3 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ to $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Average of lowest 4 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ or 16 | Average of lowest 5 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ or 18 | Average of lowest 6 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | Average of lowest 7 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Average of lowest 8 | 0 |
|  |  |  |

## Rule 5.2 CALCULATION OF A HANDICAP INDEX

## 5.2b For 20 Scores

If a scoring record contains at least 20 Score Differentials, the procedure for calculating a Handicap Index is:

Step 1
Average the lowest 8 of the most recent 20 Score Differentials and round to the nearest tenth.

Compute the difference between the average of
Step 2 the lowest 8 Score Differentials and the Low Handicap Index to determine if a cap is applied.

Average of the best

8


Rule
5.2b

EXAMPLE CALCULATION

$$
(9.8+9.0+9.8+9.0+9.0+9.8+10.9+11.9)=79.2
$$

$$
\div 8
$$



| Used | Date | Score | CR/Slope | PCC | Score Diff. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $4 / 10 / 24$ | 88 | $72.2 / 135$ | 0 | 13.2 |
| $*$ | $4 / 07 / 24$ | 83 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 9.8 |
| $*$ | $4 / 03 / 24$ | 82 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 9.0 |
|  | $4 / 01 / 24$ | 90 | $71.3 / 133$ | 0 | 15.9 |
|  | $3 / 25 / 24$ | 88 | $71.5 / 133$ | -1 | 14.9 |
|  | $3 / 22 / 24$ | 88 | $72.2 / 135$ | 0 | 13.2 |
| $*$ | $3 / 20 / 24$ | 83 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 9.8 |
|  | $3 / 15 / 24$ | 90 | $72.2 / 135$ | 0 | 14.9 |
| $*$ | $3 / 13 / 24$ | 82 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 9.0 |
| $*$ | $3 / 11 / 24$ | 82 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 9.0 |
|  | $3 / 09 / 24$ | 87 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 13.3 |
|  | $3 / 05 / 24$ | 90 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 15.9 |
|  | $3 / 01 / 24$ | 91 | $70.6 / 131$ | 0 | 17.6 |
|  | $2 / 26 / 24$ | 91 | $71.5 / 134$ | 0 | 16.4 |
| $*$ | $2 / 25 / 24$ | 83 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 9.8 |
|  | $2 / 20 / 24$ | 88 | $72.2 / 135$ | 0 | 13.2 |
| $*$ | $2 / 14 / 24$ | 85 | $71.5 / 129$ | +1 | 10.9 |
|  | $2 / 12 / 24$ | 90 | $70.0 / 128$ | 0 | 17.7 |
|  | $2 / 04 / 24$ | 93 | $69.0 / 125$ | 0 | 21.7 |
| $*$ | $1 / 30 / 24$ | 85 | $71.4 / 129$ | 0 | 11.9 |

## Rule 5.3 MAXIMUM HANDICAP INDEX

The maximum Handicap Index is $\mathbf{5 4 . 0}$ for ALL players.

For competitions:

- The Committee in charge of a competition may set a maximum limit for entry (see Rule 7.2), and
- They may limit the Playing Handicap for the competition.



## Rule 5.4 FREQUENCY OF REVISION OF A HANDICAP INDEX

Under the Rules of Handicapping, a Handicap Index updates the day after a score(s) is posted.

Players are expected to post their scores on the same day of play, which: (including multi-day competitions)

- Ensures that a player's Handicap Index is updated as soon as possible after the round was played,
- Allows for the playing conditions calculation to be carried out, and
- Ensures that scores are available for peer review.



## Rule 5.5 AGING OF SCORES/HANDICAP INDEX

A score continues to be part of the Handicap Index calculation as long as it remains within the player's most recent 20 scores recorded, regardless of


## Rule 5.6 PLAYING CONDITIONS CALCULATION

The playing conditions calculation (PCC) is a statistical procedure that compares the scores posted by players on the day against expected scoring based on the number and composition of players submitting scores.

Each player has an expected score based on their Handicap Index, and:

- If significantly fewer players than anticipated attain their expected score, conditions are determined to be harder than normal.
- If significantly more players than anticipated attain their expected score, conditions are determined to be easier than normal.

The adjustment, which ranges from -1 to +3 , is based on the amount needed to move enough players into the expected scoring range and applied to everyone who posted a score for that day.

## Rule 5.6 SCORE DIFFERENTIAL CALCULATION WITH PCC



| Adjusted Gross Score | 94 | Adjusted Gross Score | 94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course Rating | 69.4 | Course Rating | 69.4 |
| Slope Rating | 132 | Slope Rating | 132 |
| PCC adjustment | 0 | PCC adjustment | +2 |

## $=\quad$ Score Differential 19.3

## Rule 5.6 KEY CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE PCC

The PCC takes place once, at midnight local time each day.
At least eight acceptable scores must be posted on a given day - and the more
scores that are posted, the better the data is.

## Rule 5.6 KEY CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE PCC

The PCC takes place once, at midnight local time each day.
At least eight acceptable scores must be posted on a given day - and the more
scores that are posted, the better the data is.

## Rule 5.7 LOW HANDICAP INDEX

The Low Handicap Index represents the demonstrated ability of a player over the 365 -day period preceding the day on which the most recent score in their scoring record was played and provides a reference point against which the current Handicap Index can be compared.

- A Low Handicap Index is established once a player has at least 20 acceptable scores in their scoring record.
- Once a player has established a Low Handicap Index, it is reevaluated every time a new acceptable score is submitted
 and must be displayed in the player's scoring record.


## Rule 5.7 KEY MESSAGES FOR PLAYERS

## Why 365 days?

1
It is enough time to consider the "memory" of a player's demonstrated ability.

It provides a consistent timeframe for everyone, rather than a count of scores which would be dependent on frequency of play.

It enables a player who performed well in an annual event to potentially still be impacted in the same event the following year.

## Rule 5.8 SOFT CAP AND HARD CAP

The caps, which are a part of the Handicap Index calculation, ensure that a temporary loss of form does not cause a player's Handicap Index to increase to a level inconsistent with their demonstrated ability.

| If a 3.0 stroke increase takes place: | If a 5.0 stroke increase takes place <br> (after the soft cap is applied): |
| :---: | :---: |
| A soft cap will slow additional upward <br> movement by 50\%. | A hard cap will prevent any additional <br> upward movement. |

The Handicap Committee at the player's home club can override a cap if circumstances exist such as an injury.

## Rule $5.8 \quad$ CAP EXAMPLE



## Rule 5.8 KEY MESSAGES FOR PLAYERS

The cap is part of the Handicap Index calculation and applied automatically. It ensures that a temporary loss of form does not cause a player's Handicap Index to increase to a level inconsistent with their demonstrated ability.

The purpose of the caps is to provide equity and ensure a player's chance of playing to their handicap is consistent with others. Without the caps, players who experience a significant upward movement in a short period of time, on average, have a more likely chance of scoring at or below their Handicap Index compared to a more stable player.

Research suggests that most players who experience a cap will return to their "normal" demonstrated ability. In many cases, the impact of a soft cap is only a few tenths of a stroke and may not result in a change in their Course Handicap.

## Rule 5.9 EXCEPTIONAL SCORE REDUCTION

The exceptional score reduction (ESR) identifies exceptional scores which may indicate the player's true ability. The following table is used to determine the amount of the reduction:

| Number of strokes the Score Differential is lower <br> than a player's Handicap Index in effect when the <br> round was played | Exceptional score reduction |
| :---: | :---: |
| $7.0-9.9$ | -1.0 |
| 10.0 or more | -2.0 |

An Exceptional Scores report is available to AGAs and club administrators.

Handicap Index: 10.0
Rule 5.9

## SCORING RECORD PRIOR TO ESR

| Handicap Index: 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Date | Score | CR/Slope | PCC | Score Diff. | Adjustment |
|  | $4 / 10 / 24$ | 88 | $72.2 / 135$ | 0 | 13.2 |  |
| $*$ | $4 / 07 / 24$ | 83 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 9.8 |  |
| $*$ | $4 / 03 / 24$ | 82 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 9.0 |  |
|  | $4 / 01 / 24$ | 90 | $71.3 / 133$ | -1 | 16.7 |  |
|  | $3 / 25 / 24$ | 88 | $71.5 / 133$ | 0 | 14.0 |  |
|  | $3 / 22 / 24$ | 88 | $72.2 / 135$ | 0 | 13.2 |  |
| $*$ | $3 / 20 / 24$ | 83 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 9.8 |  |
|  | $3 / 15 / 24$ | 90 | $72.2 / 135$ | 0 | 14.9 |  |
| $*$ | $3 / 13 / 24$ | 82 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 9.0 |  |
| $*$ | $3 / 11 / 24$ | 82 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 9.0 |  |
|  | $3 / 09 / 24$ | 87 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 13.3 |  |
|  | $3 / 05 / 24$ | 90 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 15.9 |  |
|  | $3 / 01 / 24$ | 91 | $70.6 / 131$ | +1 | 16.7 |  |
|  | $2 / 26 / 24$ | 91 | $71.5 / 134$ | 0 | 16.4 |  |
| $*$ | $2 / 25 / 24$ | 83 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 9.8 |  |
|  | $2 / 20 / 24$ | 88 | $72.2 / 135$ | 0 | 13.2 |  |
| $*$ | $2 / 14 / 24$ | 85 | $71.5 / 129$ | 0 | 11.8 |  |
|  | $2 / 12 / 24$ | 90 | $70.0 / 128$ | 0 | 17.7 |  |
|  | $2 / 04 / 24$ | 93 | $69.0 / 125$ | 0 | 21.7 |  |
| $*$ | $1 / 30 / 24$ | 85 | $71.4 / 129$ | 0 | 11.9 |  |

## Rule 5.9 ESR EXAMPLE - 7.1 BETTER THAN 10.0 HANDICAP INDEX

| Handicap Index: 10.0 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Score | CR/Slope | Score Diff. |
| $4 / 15 / 24$ | 75 | $71.7 / 130$ | 2.9 |

## Rule 5.9 ESR EXAMPLE

## Twenty most recent Score Differentials adjusted by -1 to facilitate Handicap Index adjustment

Handicap Index: 7.9

|  | Date | Score | CR/Slope | PCC | Score Diff. | Adjustment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $*$ | $4 / 15 / 24$ | 75 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 1.9 | -1 |
|  | $4 / 10 / 24$ | 88 | $72.2 / 135$ | 0 | 12.2 | -1 |
| $*$ | $4 / 07 / 24$ | 83 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 8.8 | -1 |
| $*$ | $4 / 03 / 24$ | 82 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 8.0 | -1 |
|  | $4 / 01 / 24$ | 90 | $71.3 / 133$ | -1 | 15.7 | -1 |
|  | $3 / 25 / 24$ | 88 | $71.5 / 133$ | 0 | 13.0 | -1 |
|  | $3 / 22 / 24$ | 88 | $72.2 / 135$ | 0 | 12.2 | -1 |
| $*$ | $3 / 20 / 24$ | 83 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 8.8 | -1 |
|  | $3 / 15 / 24$ | 90 | $72.2 / 135$ | 0 | 13.9 | -1 |
| $*$ | $3 / 13 / 24$ | 82 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 8.0 | -1 |
| $*$ | $3 / 11 / 24$ | 82 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 8.0 | -1 |
|  | $3 / 09 / 24$ | 87 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 12.3 | -1 |
|  | $3 / 05 / 24$ | 90 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 14.9 | -1 |
|  | $3 / 01 / 24$ | 91 | $70.6 / 131$ | +1 | 15.7 | -1 |
|  | $2 / 26 / 24$ | 91 | $71.5 / 134$ | 0 | 15.4 | -1 |
| $*$ | $2 / 25 / 24$ | 83 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 8.8 | -1 |
|  | $2 / 20 / 24$ | 88 | $72.2 / 135$ | 0 | 12.2 | -1 |
| $*$ | $2 / 14 / 24$ | 85 | $71.5 / 129$ | 0 | 10.8 | -1 |
|  | $2 / 12 / 24$ | 90 | $70.0 / 128$ | 0 | 16.7 | -1 |
|  | $2 / 04 / 24$ | 93 | $69.0 / 125$ | 0 | 20.7 | -1 |

Handicap Index: 8.1
Rule 5.9

|  | Date | Score | CR/Slope | PCC | Score <br> Diff. | Adjustment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $4 / 23 / 24$ | 88 | $71.5 / 133$ | 0 | 14.0 |  |
|  | $4 / 18 / 24$ | 87 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 13.3 |  |
|  | $4 / 17 / 24$ | 88 | $71.5 / 133$ | 0 | 14.0 |  |
| $*$ | $4 / 15 / 24$ | 75 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 1.9 | -1 |
| $*$ | $4 / 10 / 24$ | 88 | $72.2 / 135$ | 0 | 12.2 | -1 |
| $*$ | $4 / 07 / 24$ | 83 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 8.8 | -1 |
| $*$ | $4 / 03 / 24$ | 82 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 8.0 | -1 |
|  | $4 / 01 / 24$ | 90 | $71.3 / 133$ | -1 | 15.7 | -1 |
|  | $3 / 25 / 24$ | 88 | $71.5 / 133$ | 0 | 13.0 | -1 |
|  | $3 / 22 / 24$ | 88 | $72.2 / 135$ | 0 | 12.2 | -1 |
| $*$ | $3 / 20 / 24$ | 83 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 8.8 | -1 |
|  | $3 / 15 / 24$ | 90 | $72.2 / 135$ | 0 | 13.9 | -1 |
| $*$ | $3 / 13 / 24$ | 82 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 8.0 | -1 |
| $*$ | $3 / 11 / 24$ | 82 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 8.0 | -1 |
|  | $3 / 09 / 24$ | 87 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 12.3 | -1 |
|  | $3 / 05 / 24$ | 90 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 14.9 | -1 |
|  | $3 / 01 / 24$ | 91 | $70.6 / 131$ | +1 | 15.7 | -1 |
|  | $2 / 26 / 24$ | 91 | $71.5 / 134$ | 0 | 15.4 | -1 |
| $*$ | $2 / 25 / 24$ | 83 | $71.7 / 130$ | 0 | 8.8 | -1 |
|  | $2 / 20 / 24$ | 88 | $72.2 / 135$ | 0 | 12.2 | -1 |

## QUESTIONS

BREAK

# RULE 6 - Course Handicap and Playing Handicap Calculation 
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## Rule 6.1 COURSE HANDICAP CALCULATION

The Course Handicap calculation converts a Handicap Index to the number of strokes needed to play to par of the tees being played. This allows portability of a player's Handicap Index wherever they play.

It is used for the application of net double bogey and net par adjustments, where appropriate.


## Rule 6.2 PLAYING HANDICAP CALCULATION

## 6.2a Standard Calculation

The Playing Handicap calculation determines the number of strokes each player gives or receives, enabling equity among players of all handicap levels within different formats of the game.

For formats of play where a handicap allowance of $100 \%$ is adopted, the Playing Handicap will be the same as the Course Handicap.


For example, in a four-ball stroke play competition using $85 \%$ of Course Handicap, a player may have a Course Handicap of 15 and a Playing Handicap of 13.

## Rule 6.2 PLAYING HANDICAP CALCULATION

## 6.2b Calculation When Multiple Tees with Different Pars Are Used in a Competition

When a competition is played from two or more sets of tees (such as mixed gender or mixed ability events):

- No additional adjustment is required for the difference in Course Rating and Slope Rating.
- Depending on the format of play and any difference in par between tees, an adjustment for the difference in par is made to the Playing Handicap for equity purposes. For example, stroke play and match play formats where results are recorded as gross or net scores.
- If par is different on a hole in match play, the player with the lowest net score wins the hole.


See Rule $6.2 b$ for more information

## Rule 6 Recap COURSE HANDICAP VS. PLAYING HANDICAP

The Course Handicap is used for

- General/Recreational Play
- Determining your target score, and
- Adjusting hole scores for net double bogey


## The ス.av/ne -ancicã is used. For:

- The purposes of the game/competition

> Remember:
> Course Handicap = You against the course
> Playing Handicap = You against the other players

## QUESTIONS

USGA.

## RULE 7 - Committee Actions
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## Rule 7.1 HANDICAP COMMITTEE

## 7.1a Conducting a Handicap Review and Adjusting a Handicap Index

The Handicap Committee has the responsibility of ensuring that players at the club have a Handicap Index that represents their demonstrated ability.

- To fulfill that duty, the Handicap Committee has discretion to conduct a handicap review at any time. However, it is strongly recommended that they conduct a review at least annually for all players who have designated that golf club as their home club.
- A handicap review may also be conducted at the request of the player or another player at any time.



## ADJUSTING A HANDICAP INDEX

In considering the available evidence, the Handicap Committee must decide the most appropriate course of action for any adjustment to a player's Handicap Index, which can be either:

A - Resetting the Handicap Index by applying an adjustment to each of the most recent 20 Score Differentials.

B - Freezing the Handicap Index at a level selected by the Handicap Committee for a defined period (not to exceed 365 days/1 year).

| Score | Course Rating | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Slope } \\ & \text { Rating } \end{aligned}$ | Score Diff | Score | Course Rating | Slope | Score Diff. | Handicap Review Adj. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83 | 70.0 | 131 | 11.2 | 83 | 70.0 | 131 | 11.2 | -1 |
| 86 | 71.8 | 127 | 12.6 | 86 | 71.8 | 127 | 12.6 | -1 |
| 82 | 69.0 | 125 | 11.8 | 82 | 69.0 | 125 | 11.8 | -1 |
| 79 | 69.8 | 128 | 8.1 | 79 | 69.8 | 128 | 8.1 | -1 |
| 87 | 70.1 | 134 | 14.3 | 87 | 70.1 | 134 | 14.3 | -1 |
| 90 |  |  |  |  | 40 | 128 | 17.7 | -1 |
| 89 | 71. 2 | 131 | 14.8 | 89 | 71.8 | 131 | 14.8 | -1 |
| 88 | 71.5 | $1 z$ | 14.5 | 88 | 71.5 | 129 | 14.5 | -1 |
| 81 | -2. | 127 |  |  | 69.4 | 127 | 10.3 | - |
| 92 | $\sim$ |  |  |  | 71.7 | 130 | 17.6 | -1 |
| 86 |  |  |  |  | 71.8 | 127 | 12.6 | -1 |
| 87 | 20.1 |  |  |  | 70.1 | 13 | 14.3 | -1 |
| 79 | 69.8 |  |  |  | 69.8 |  |  | -1 |
| 83 | 70.7 | 25 |  |  | 70.7 |  |  | -1 |
| 88 | 71.5 |  |  |  | 71.5 |  |  | -1 |
| 92 | 1.7 | 130 |  | 92 |  |  |  | -1 |
| 80 |  |  | 10.3 | 80 |  |  |  | -1 |
| 86 | 71.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -1 |
| 82 | 69.4 | 127 | 11.2 | 82 | 69. |  |  | -1 |
| 90 | 70.0 | 128 | 17.7 | 90 | 70.0 |  |  | -1 |
| 8 of 20 average: 10.3 |  |  |  | Handicap Index: 9.3M |  |  |  |  |

## Rule

Whether to apply any adjustments remains solely at the discretion of the Handicap Committee after taking into consideration any other knowledge the Committee has relating to the player's demonstrated ability.

Once the review is complete, the Handicap Committee can consider the appropriate Handicap Index adjustment for the player. Any adjustment to a player's Handicap Index must:

- Be applied only after the player has been informed and has had an opportunity to respond to the Handicap Committee, i.e., follow and appeals procedure, if requested.
- Be a minimum of 1 stroke, upward or downward.
- Only increase a player's Handicap Index by up to 5.0 strokes above the player's Low Handicap Index, unless there are exceptional circumstances.


## Jennifer Adams

Liberty Corner Golf Course
OVERVIEW SCORES

In a situation where a player fails to post an acceptable score, the Handicap Committee can determine whether the player's reason for not posting a score was justified and take appropriate action.


## Rule

## PENALTY SCORES

The value of any penalty score applied to a player's scoring record should be appropriate for the circumstances and/or the player's intent. For example:

- Intended to lower their Handicap Index - equal to the highest Score Differential in their last 19 scores.
- Intended to raise their Handicap Index - equal to the lowest Score Differential in their last 19 scores.


For a player who repeatedly fails to submit acceptable scores, the Handicap Committee should consider either withdrawing their Handicap Index or taking other disciplinary action.

## PENALTY SCORES

The Handicap Committee should have policies and procedures in place so that penalty scores are applied consistently.

For example: The club may choose to adopt the following policy:


First failure to post:<br>Written warning<br>Second failure to post:<br>Penalty score issued for score(s) not posted

Third failure to post: Further disciplinary action taken by the Handicap Committee

The Handicap Committee is not required to notify the player before posting a penalty score to their scoring record.

## COMMITTEE IN CHARGE OF THE COMPETITION

The Committee in charge of a competition may set entry/eligibility conditions within their Terms of the Competition.

For example, the Committee can:

- Set a maximum Handicap Index limit for entry.
- Set a maximum Course Handicap.
- Set a maximum Playing Handicap.
- Reserve the right to adjust the Playing Handicap before or between rounds of a competition.


USGA.

## QUESTIONS

USGA.

## APPENDICES
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## Handicap Allowances

## Appendix c RECOMMENDED HANDICAP ALLOWANCES

|  |  |  | Other | Foursomes | $50 \%$ of combined team handicap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Greensomes | $60 \%$ low handicap $+40 \%$ high handicap |
| Format of Play | Type of Round | Recommended Handicap Allowance |  | Pinehurst/Chapman | $60 \%$ low handicap $+40 \%$ high handicap |
| Stroke play | Individual | 95\% |  | Best 1 of 4 stroke play | 75\% |
|  | Individual Stableford | 95\% |  | Best 2 of 4 stroke play | 85\% |
|  | Individual Par/Bogey | 95\% |  | Best 3 of 4 stroke play | 100\% |
|  | Individual Maximum Score | 95\% |  | All 4 of 4 stroke play | 100\% |
|  | Four-Ball | 85\% |  | Scramble (4 players) | $25 \% / 20 \% / 15 \% / 10 \%$ from lowest to highest handicap |
|  | Four-Ball Stableford | 85\% |  | Scramble (3 players) | 30\% low/20\%/10\% high |
|  | Four-Ball Par/Bogey | 90\% |  | Scramble (2 players) | 35\% low/15\% high |
| Match play |  | 100\% |  | Total score of 2 match play | 100\% |
|  | Individual |  |  | Best 1 of $4 \mathrm{Par} /$ Bogey | 75\% |
|  | Four-Ball | 90\% |  | Best 2 of $4 \mathrm{Par} /$ Bogey | 80\% |
|  |  |  |  | Best 3 of $4 \mathrm{Par} /$ Bogey | 90\% |
|  |  |  |  | 4 of $4 \mathrm{Par} /$ Bogey | 100\% |

## Handicap Review

## Appendix D HANDICAP REVIEW

- The Handicap Committee plays a vital role in the successful administration of a player's Handicap Index.
- It is strongly recommended that the Handicap Committee conducts a handicap review at least once a year for all players for on their handicap roster.
- A consistent, objective approach is important.
- New WHS Technical Specifications provides recommendations for reports, which will identify players who are consistently scoring above or below expectations - or where anomalies exist.
- Coming early 2024


## Appendix D HANDICAP REVIEW CONSIDERATIONS

A review could also involve the analysis of any or all the following information:


Frequency of score submissions in last 12 months vs. previous 12month cycles.


The trend of the player's Handicap Indexdifferences in player's Handicap Index over last 12months/24 months.

Comparison of average Score Differentials between competitive and casual rounds.
\% of acceptable scores submitted at a player's home club.

Deviations from the expected scoring performance for the player.

Any scores from, or performances known, in non-authorized formats of play.

Other information available to the Committee.

## The Golf Course, Course Rating and Slope Rating

## Appendix G COURSE RATING SYSTEM

The same Course Rating System is The same Course Rating System is
used worldwide under the WHS.

The Course Rating System addresses the portability of handicaps by adjusting a player's Handicap Index according to the relative difficulty of the golf course being played.


## Appendix G COURSE RATING SYSTEM - KEY TERMS

## Course Rating

An indication of the difficulty of a golf course for the scratch player under normal course and weather conditions.

## Scratch Player

A player with a 0.0 Handicap Index.

## Bogey Player

A player with a Handicap Index of approximately 20.0 for men and 24.0 for women.

## Slope Rating

An indication of the relative difficulty of a golf course for players who are not scratch players compared to players who are scratch players. The value can be between 55-155.

## Appendix g NEW MINIMUM LENGTH OF GOLF COURSE

Beginning in 2024, the WHS will include a new minimum length of a golf course to be eligible for a Course and Slope Rating.

The new limits are:

- 750 yards for a 9-hole golf course
- 1,500 yards for an 18-hole golf course

All Courses/Tees Meeting These Minimum Lengths Will Receive 18-hole Course and Slope Ratings.

## Appendix G BENEFITS OF THIS CHANGE



## PORTABLE

This will allow scores to be acceptable for handicap purposes at more golf courses and a Handicap Index to be portable between shorter and more traditional length courses.


## INCLUSIVE

As these courses are typically played by beginners or players looking to extend their golfing experience as their hitting distance decreases, it provides an opportunity to enjoy the game more by using their Handicap Index.


MODERN
This is supportive of current trends in golf including more short courses being built and shorter tees being added to accommodate more players based on their ability.

## QUESTIONS

USGA.

## THANK YOU

Kevin O'Connor
Managing Director, Governance koconnor@scga.org

## Deb Olson

Assistant Director, Member Services
\& Office Operations
dolson@scga.org
Jeremy Pitt
Director, Club Services
jpitt@scga.org

## Next Steps FOR CLUBS

- Pass Certification Quiz - 80\%

15) For a golfer who has a Handicap Index, which of the following statements are true regarding rounds of 10-17 holes played?
a. The score cannot be posted for handicap purposes.
b. Hole-by-hole score posting is required.
c. Net double bogey is recorded for holes not played.
d. Both B and C

## SCGA WHS HUB
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